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Telomerase is a ribonucleoprotein reverse transcriptase responsible for the maintenance of one strand of the telomere terminal repeats. The catalytic protein subunit of the telomerase complex, known as TERT, possesses a reverse transcriptase (RT) domain that mediates nucleotide addition. The RT domain of TERT is distinguishable from retroviral and retrotansposon RTs in having a sizable insertion between conserved motifs A and B, within the so-called fingers domain. Sequence analysis revealed the existence of conserved residues in this region, named IFD (insertion in fingers domain). Mutations of some of the conserved residues in Saccharomyces cerevisiae TERT (Est2p) abolished telomerase function in vivo, testifying to their importance. Significant effects of the mutations on telomerase activity in vitro were observed, with most of the mutants exhibiting a uniform reduction in activity regardless of primer sequence. Remarkably, one mutant manifested a primer-specific defect, being selectively impaired in extending primers that form short hybrids with telomerase RNA. This mutant also accumulated products that correspond to one complete round of repeat synthesis, implying an inability to effect the repositioning of the DNA product relative to the RNA template that is necessary for multiple repeat addition. Our results suggest that the ability to stabilize short RNA-DNA hybrids is crucial for telomerase function in vivo and that this ability is mediated in part by a more elaborate fingers domain structure.
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ficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) RT, these motifs are located in the fingers and palm subdomain and mediate specific interactions with the template, primer, or nucleotides (27). Extensive mutational analysis indicates that these motifs perform nearly identical functions in TERTs. In particular, the same conserved residues appear to control nucleotide addition processivity in both HIV-1 RT and TERT (5, 6, 26, 45). However, none of the mutations in conserved RT motifs have been shown to specifically affect type II translocation (data not shown). This is perhaps not surprising, given the inability of conventional RTs to carry out type II translocation.

Interestingly, there is one feature of the TERT RT domain that distinguishes it from conventional RTs: a sizable insertion between conserved motifs A and B’ within the fingers subdomain (33, 41). In this report, we demonstrate by sequence analysis the existence of conserved TERT-specific residues in this region, named IFD (insertion in fingers domain). To address the functional significance of these residues, we generated substitution mutations and examined their effects on telomerase function. Our results indicate that the IFD of TERTs is required for normal telomere maintenance in vivo and for maximal telomerase activity in vitro. Various effects of the mutations on activity were observed, with most of the mutants exhibiting a uniform reduction in activity regardless of primer sequence. Remarkably, one mutant manifested a primer-specific defect, being selectively impaired in extending primers that form short hybrids with telomerase RNA. This mutant also accumulated products that correspond to one complete round of repeat synthesis, implying an inability to perform type II translocation. Our results suggest that telomerase has evolved a more elaborate fingers domain structure to optimize substrate interaction and to mediate the synthesis of multiple copies of telomere repeat. Thus, a TERT-specific motif within the catalytic domain is required for the unique enzymatic functions of telomerase.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Yeast strains and plasmids. The construction of an est2Δ strain harboring the pSE-Est2-C874 plasmid (containing a protein A-tagged EST2 gene) has been described (52). This fully functional Est2p is designated wild-type telomerase throughout the text. All substitution mutations in IFD of EST2 were generated with the Quick-Change protocol (Stratagene), appropriate primer oligonucleotides, and pSE-Est2-C874 as the template. All point mutations were confirmed by sequencing. The oligodeoxynucleotide primers used for mutagenesis were purchased from Sigma-Genosis and purified by denaturing gel electrophoresis prior to use. For overexpression of TERT mutants, a vector containing the triose phosphate isomerase promoter (pYX232; Ingenious Inc.) was utilized. The template for the pSE-Est2-C874 series of plasmids was inserted between the NdeI and SalI sites of the pBS-TLC1 vector (using the Quick-Change protocol; Stratagene). The oligodeoxynucleotide primers used for mutagenesis were purchased from Sigma-Genosis and purified by denaturing gel electrophoresis prior to use.

A PCR fragment that spans nucleotides 1 to 1301 of the TEL10 gene was generated with the template pYX232/H9004 strain harboring the yeast RNA template, supporting the addition of a dideoxy nucleotide, primer oligodeoxynucleotides, and a reaction cocktail containing 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 4 mM magnesium chloride, 2 mM dithiothreitol, 2 mM spermidine, primer oligodeoxynucleotides, and various combinations of labeled and unlabeled dGTP and dTTP. Primer extension products were processed and analyzed by gel electrophoresis as previously described (36, 37). The oligodeoxynucleotide primers used for telomerase assays were purchased from Sigma-Genosis and purified by denaturing gel electrophoresis prior to use. The primers have the following sequences: TEL15, GGG TGTGTTGG; TEL15, TGTGTTGTTGTTGG; OXY1T, GGTGTGTTGTTGG; and TEL19, GTTGGTGTGTTGTTGG. For determination of the processivity of substitution mutants, assays were performed with OXYT1 or TEL15(m5) as the primer. The signal for each product was determined by PhosphorImager (Molecular Dynamics) and normalized to the amount of transcript by dividing against the number of labeled residues. The OXY1T primer ends in G’s and can align to only one site along the yeast RNA template, supporting the addition of a defined sequence (TGT GGTG) up to the 5’ boundary of the template. For nucleotides beyond the +7 position, we assume a sequence of TGTG... Calculations were made assuming other compatible sequences, and the conclusions were not altered.

RESULTS

Conserved TERT-specific residues are required for telomere maintenance. TERTs are distinguishable from conventional RTs in having sizable insertions in the region between conserved motifs A and B’ (Fig. 1A) (33, 41); whereas the typical distance between the two motifs is 20 residues in retroviral RTs, it ranges from about 70 to 120 residues in TERTs. In the context of the three-dimensional structural model of HIV-1 RT, this region is located in the fingers domain, in close proximity to the nucleotide triphosphates, the 5’ end of the template, and the 3’ end of the primer (Fig. 1B and 1C). We

Purification of and assay for yeast telomerase. Whole-cell extracts and IgG-Sepharose-purified telomerase were prepared as previously described (10, 36, 45, 52). Each primer extension assay was carried out with 20 μl of IgG-Sepharose pretreated with 4 mg of protein extract and was initiated by the addition of a 20βl cocktail containing 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 4 mM magnesium chloride, 2 mM dithiothreitol, 2 mM spermidine, primer oligodeoxynucleotides, and various combinations of labeled and unlabeled dGTP and dTTP. Primer extension products were processed and analyzed by gel electrophoresis as previously described (36, 37). The oligodeoxynucleotide primers used for telomerase assays were purchased from Sigma-Genosis and purified by denaturing gel electrophoresis prior to use. The primers have the following sequences: TEL15, GGG TGTGTTGG; TEL15, TGTGTTGTTGTTGG; OXY1T, GGTGTGTTGTTGG; and TEL19, GTTGGTGTGTTGTTGG. For determination of the processivity of substitution mutants, assays were performed with OXYT1 or TEL15(m5) as the primer. The signal for each product was determined by PhosphorImager (Molecular Dynamics) and normalized to the amount of transcript by dividing against the number of labeled residues. The OXY1T primer ends in G’s and can align to only one site along the yeast RNA template, supporting the addition of a defined sequence (TGT GGTG) up to the 5’ boundary of the template. For nucleotides beyond the +7 position, we assume a sequence of TGTG... Calculations were made assuming other compatible sequences, and the conclusions were not altered.

where N denotes the number of transcript calculated for the primer + I product and N is the highest number at which a visible signal can be discerned in the PhosphorImager file for the primer + N product.

Kinetic analysis was performed with a range of primer concentrations and a time point within the linear range of product accumulation. The amounts of products were quantified by comparing the “volume” derived from scanning of PhosphorImager plates to those from known amounts of radioactivity. In control scanning experiments, we spotted known quantities of radioactive label on filter paper, exposed a PhosphorImager plate to the paper for 16 h, and scanned the plate to obtain the volume derived from the radioactive spots. From such experiments, we estimate that 1 fmol of label (3,000 Ci/mmol) gives rise to a volume of 650,000 in 16 h. Thus, we were able to calculate the molar amount of the product from the scan. The reaction rates were then calculated (by dividing the product against the duration of the reaction), plotted against primer concentrations, and the data points were fitted to the Michaelis-Menton equation with a nonlinear regression algorithm (Prism, GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, Calif.).

Protein and RNA analysis. The levels of protein A-tagged yeast TERT in cell extracts were determined by enrichment on IgG-Sepharose and subsequent Western blotting as previously described (52). RNase protection studies were carried out as follows. A PCR fragment that spans nucleotides 1 to 1301 of the TLC1 gene was generated and cloned between the BamHI and EcoRV sites of plBluescript II KS+ to give pBS-TLC1. For the synthesis of labeled antisense probe, pBS-TLC1 was linearized by digestion with HindIII and transcribed with T3 RNA polymerase in the presence of 12 μM [α-32P]GTP as described (35). For the protection assays, total RNAs from IgG-Sepharose beads were combined with the probe (100,000 cpm), and the mixtures were precipitated with ethanol. The RNAs were then hybridized in 80% formamide, digested successively with RNase T1, RNase A, and proteinase K, and analyzed by gel electrophoresis as described (39).
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FIG. 1. Hidden Markov model-generated multiple sequence alignment for the IFD region of known and predicted telomerase reverse transcriptases (TERT), and putative location of IFD in the context of HIV-1 RT. (A) Motifs A and B are shaded in gray, and highly conserved residues are shown as white letters on a black background. The IFD region is shaded in yellow, and well-conserved hydrophobic positions are boxed. Two conserved charged/hydrophilic positions are shown with a pink background. Red letters indicate the locations of the \textit{S. cerevisiae} mutations examined in this work. Numbers indicate the number of residues not depicted explicitly. The sequences and their database codes are as follows: TtTERT, \textit{Tetrahymena thermophila} TERT [TERT_TETH]; OtTERT, \textit{Oxytricha trifallax} TERT [TERT_OXYTR]; EaTERT, \textit{Euplotes aediculatus} TERT [TERT_EUPAE]; McTERT, \textit{Moneuplotes crassus} TERT [AAM95622]; PcTERT, \textit{Paramecium caudatum} TERT [Q9GRC5]; ScEst2p, \textit{Saccharomyces cerevisiae} TERT [TERT_YEAST]; SpTERT1, \textit{Schizosaccharomyces pombe} TERT [TERT_SCHPO]; CaTERT, \textit{Candida albica} TERT [Q9QPTI]; UmTERT, \textit{Usitegla montis} TERT; HtTERT, \textit{Xenopus laevis} TERT [Q9DE32]; MmTERT, \textit{Mus musculus} TERT [TERT_MOUSE]; MaTERT, \textit{Mesocricetus auratus} TERT [Q9OXZ4]; HsTERT, \textit{Homo sapiens} TERT [TERT_HUMAN]; OsTERT, \textit{Oriza sativa} TERT [Q9AU13]; AtTERT, \textit{Arabidopsis thaliana} TERT [Q9SEA99]; GcTERT, \textit{Giardia lamblia} TERT [Q9NCP5]; PfTERT, \textit{Plasmodium falciparum} 3D7 hypothetical protein PF13_0080 [NP_705050]; PyTERT, \textit{Plasmodium yoelii} TERT [EAA16235]; CcTERT, \textit{Cryptosporidium parvum} TERT [AAK60396]; EcTERT, \textit{Encephalitozoon cuniculi} TERT [NP_59054]; CdDy3.4a, \textit{Caenorhabditis elegans} TERT; NcTERT, \textit{Neurospora crassa}
therefore named the extra segments in TERTs IFD for insertion in fingers domain. A hidden Markov model-based alignment of the IFDs of TERTs revealed moderately conserved residues (Fig. 1A).

To assess the functional importance of IFD, we created a series of substitution mutants in Est2p (Saccharomyces cerevisiae TERT), named VRSQ559, LYID589, QDVI602, and LWV617. Each mutant contains three to four alanine substitutions and is designated by the identity of the altered residues and the position of the first altered residue. Each mutant was tagged at the C terminus with tandem copies of the IgG-binding domain of protein A and then introduced into a yeast strain whose chromosomal EST2 had been disrupted. A similarly tagged wild-type EST2 gene (named C874) was analyzed in parallel as the control.

All strains were first tested for a telomere maintenance defect. Chromosomal DNAs were prepared from cells approximately 50 generations posttransformation and subjected to Southern analysis of terminal restriction fragments. As shown in Fig. 2A, all four mutants exhibited various degrees of telomere length defect. The relative severities of the defect for the mutants were as follows: LYID589 > ODVI602 ≈ VRSQ559 > LWV617. Both isolates of the LYID589 mutant showed amplification of the subtelomeric Y/H11032 fragment (indicated by a triangle, Fig. 2A), consistent with the presence of type I survivors. To determine if the LYID589 mutant was completely nonfunctional, we compared the telomere pattern of individual transformants that had been passaged in liquid culture by serial dilution and that contained either an empty vector, wild-type EST2 (C874), or LYID589. As shown in Fig. 2B, the clones containing the LYID589 mutant gave rise to survivors with kinetics similar to those containing the empty vector, consistent with a complete loss of function for this mutant. Thus, some residues in IFD appear to be essential for telomere maintenance in vivo.

To assess the basis for the loss of function exhibited by the IFD mutants, we investigated the ability of individual mutant proteins to induce telomere shortening when overexpressed in

**FIG. 2.** Mutations in the IFD region impair telomere maintenance. (A) Telomere lengths were determined for strains bearing wild-type or mutated TERT after the strains had been restreaked on the plate once. The identities of the mutants are indicated at the top of the panel. The location of Y′-type telomeres is indicated by brackets. The amplified Y′ fragments that are often observed in type I survivors are marked by a solid triangle. (B) Telomere lengths were determined for strains bearing wild-type EST2 (C874), the LYID589 mutant, or an empty vector after the strains were passaged in liquid culture by serial dilution (1:500 for each dilution). The amplified Y′ fragments that are often observed in type I survivors are marked by solid triangles. The heterogeneous telomere fragments observed in type II survivors are marked by vertical brackets. Samples that exhibit features of survivors are marked with + at the bottom. (C) Telomere lengths were determined for W303a strains with plasmids that overexpressed individual IFD mutant proteins. DNAs were isolated from clones that had been restreaked twice on plates after initial transformation. A strain that does not contain any overexpression plasmid (Control) was tested in parallel.

TERT; DmtTART, Drosophila melanogaster TART retrotransposon RT; and 3HVT, HIV-1 RT. (B) A structural model of HIV-1 RT P66 in a complex with DNA and a nucleotide triphosphate is shown. The protein backbone, the template strand, and the primer strand are shown in cyan, purple, and green, respectively. The thumb domain of the protein is shown in pink. Residues 120 to 140 of HIV-1 RT, which correspond to the region between motifs A and B′, are shown in dark blue. The nucleotide substrate is depicted by sticks. The enzyme is trapped in the closed transcribing conformation. (C) The same structural model of the complex is shown in a different orientation. Color codes are the same as in B except that the thumb domain is shown in the same color as the rest of the protein.
Various effects of IFD mutations on protein and RNP levels. To directly test the effects of the IFD mutations on TERT protein stability, we measured the levels of the mutant proteins by enrichment on IgG-Sepharose and subsequent Western analysis with antibodies directed against the protein A tag. As shown in Fig. 2C, all of the mutants except LWV617 caused various degrees of telomere shortening in the overexpression assay. Moreover, the extent of telomere shortening provoked by overexpression of the mutants correlated with those observed in the original telomere maintenance assay, with the LYID589 mutation causing the greatest shortening in both assays. Taken together, our observations imply that the telomere maintenance defects of at least three of the IFD mutants are due to a loss of function rather than stability or assembly.

Various effects of the mutations on protein and RNP levels. To directly test the effects of the IFD mutations on TERT protein stability, we measured the levels of the mutant proteins by enrichment on IgG-Sepharose and subsequent Western analysis with antibodies directed against the protein A tag. As shown in Fig. 3A, all of the mutant proteins were present at levels comparable to that of the wild-type protein, suggesting that the mutants are not grossly destabilized. We also determined the amount of TERT-associated TLC1 RNA by precipitating the RNP with IgG-Sepharose and then performing RNase protection assays on the resulting precipitates. As shown in Fig. 3B, the levels of TLC1 RNA were reduced by two- to threefold for the VRSQ559, LYID589, and QDVI602 mutants and by ∼5-fold for the LWV617 mutant. Together with the overexpression study, these results suggest that of the four IFD mutants, the LWV617 mutant may have the most significant defect in RNP assembly, whereas the other mutants (VRSQ559, LYID589, and QDVI602) are likely to have functional defects.

Various effects of IFD mutations on telomerase activity in vitro. To assess potential alterations in enzyme activity, we precipitated protein A-tagged telomerase from the wild-type and mutant strains by adsorption to IgG-Sepharose and subjected the beads to primer extension analysis. The sequence and length of the DNA primer are known to affect the polymerization properties of yeast telomerase (36, 47). To detect sequence- or length-specific defects, we examined the behavior of the wild-type and mutant enzymes with five different primers (Fig. 4A). As shown in Fig. 4A and 4B, all of the mutants exhibited substantial activity loss beyond those predicted by the moderate reduction in RNP levels. For example, the VRSQ559 and QDVI602 extracts consistently manifested a ∼10-fold reduction in total activity relatively to the wild-type enzyme despite having RNP levels that are about one half to one third of the wild-type extract. Moreover, the LWV617 extract can exhibit ∼1/100 of the wild-type activity despite having ca. one fifth of the wild-type RNP level. These results indicate that all of the IFD mutants have reduced specific activities and are in fact functionally defective.

Defects of the LYID589 mutants: changes in primer utilization and altered elongation properties. Remarkably, the defect of the LYID589 mutant was primer dependent (Fig. 4A and 4B). With either TEL15 or TEL19 as the primer, the mutant activity was about half of the wild-type level. With TEL15(m5), OXYT1, or TEL10 as the primer, the mutant activity ranged from 1 to 10% of the wild-type level. The difference between the two groups of primers appears to be their potential for forming RNA-DNA duplexes with telomerase RNA, with the first group forming hybrids of 9 to 13 bp and the second group forming hybrids of 3 to 4 bp. This result raises the interesting possibility that the LYID residues replaced in the mutant are especially important for telomerase function when the RNA-DNA hybrid is short.

Close inspection of the reaction products revealed a second interesting feature of the LYID589 mutant: altered elongation. The alteration was most evident in reactions utilizing OXYT1. In particular, the LYID589 mutant appears to preferentially accumulate product at the primer +7 position (Fig. 5B, lane 3, marked by a triangle). This visual impression was confirmed by quantitative analysis of the distribution of extension products. In particular, the relative intensities of products derived from the LYID589 mutant enzyme that are longer or shorter than primer +7 were all reduced relative to the wild-type enzyme (Fig. 5C). The primer +7 product is generated upon one complete round of template copying, when the active site of the enzyme reaches the 5′ boundary of the template (Fig. 5A). Accumulation of this product can be explained by a defect in type II translocation or more efficient type I translocation (from the primer +1 to primer +6 positions). To distinguish between these alternatives, we assessed the processivity of wild-type and LYID589 telomerase at each position along the template. Interestingly, as shown in Fig. 5D, both alternatives appeared to contribute to changes in the elongation pattern of the mutant. For example, the processivity of the mutant en-
zyme at the primer +7 position was reduced by ~60%, while that at the primer +3, primer +4, and primer +5 positions were increased by ~15 to 25%. Similar results were obtained in experiments performed with the TEL15(m5) primer (data not shown).

In contrast to the LYID589 mutant, the banding patterns of the VRSQ559 and QDVI602 mutant were basically indistinguishable from the wild-type enzyme (Fig. 5B, compare lanes 2, 4, and 5). Quantitative analysis of the distributions of products also failed to reveal any significant changes in the elongation properties of these two mutants (data not shown). The elongation property of the LWV617 mutant was not analyzed because of weak product intensities.

LYID589 mutant exhibits reduced binding to DNA. To test the notion that the LYID589 mutant is selectively impaired in binding primers that form short hybrids with telomerase RNA, we attempted to measure binding of the RNP to the OXYT1 primer with a previously described gel mobility shift assay (37). However, no complex could be identified even with the use of the wild-type enzyme, possibly because of the low stability of such a complex (data not shown). As an alternative method of assessing telomerase-primer binding, we determined the apparent $K_m$ values of the wild-type and mutant enzymes for OXYT1 with various concentrations of the primer in primer extension assays. Because of low activity levels of yeast telomerase, it was necessary to use nonsaturating concentrations of the labeled nucleotide in these assays. To rule out the possibility that nucleotide concentrations may affect the results, we conducted three sets of analysis with different combinations and concentrations of the nucleotide substrates. As shown in Fig. 6 and Table 1, the LYID589 telomerase consistently displayed higher apparent $K_m$ values for OXYT1 than the wild-type enzyme. For example, when dTTP alone (at 0.5 mM) was used for the assays, the LYID589 mutant exhibited a 9-fold higher $K_m$. When 1 mM dTTP and 50 mM dGTP were included in the assays, the difference in $K_m$ between the wild-type and the mutant enzyme was more than 15-fold. Assuming Michaelis-Menton kinetics, these results suggest that the binding of the LYID589 mutant to OXYT1 (a primer that forms a short hybrid with telomerase RNA) is indeed substantially weaker than that of wild-type telomerase.

DISCUSSION

Mechanisms of IFD. We showed in this report that a telomerase-specific region within the catalytic domain of TERT, named IFD, is required for telomerase function both in vivo and in vitro. Most remarkably, a four-amino-acid substitution
FIG. 5. Defective type II translocation for the LYID589 mutant. (A) The sequence of the OXYT1 primer, how it aligns with the telomerase RNA template, the expected nucleotide addition, and a type II translocation reaction are illustrated. (B) Telomerase from the wild-type and various mutant strains was isolated by IgG affinity chromatography and tested in primer extension assays with OXYT1 as the primer, and \(^{32}\)PdTTP (0.2 \(\mu\)M) and dGTP (50 \(\mu\)M) as the nucleotides. The identities of the mutations are shown at the top, and the locations of the primer +1 (+1) and primer +7 (+7) products are indicated by horizontal lines to the right. The preferential accumulation of the primer +7 product by the LYID589 mutant is highlighted by a solid triangle. To allow easier visual comparison of the banding pattern, a shorter exposure (1X) of the lane containing wild-type products is shown in the rightmost lane. (C) The relative intensities of the extension products for the C874 and LYID589 telomerases from the primer +1 to +11 positions are plotted. The scales for the two reactions are set so that the primer +7 bars are of equal height. This results in better visual appreciation of the fact that the mutant enzyme preferentially accumulates products at this position. (D) The processivity of the C874 and LYID589 telomerases from the primer +1 to +7 positions was determined in duplicate assays, and the results were plotted.

FIG. 6. Reduced primer binding for the LYID589 mutant. (A) Telomerases derived from strains carrying the C874 or LYID589 plasmid were tested for primer extension activity in vitro with increasing concentrations of the OXYT1 primer. The assays shown in the top panels were performed in the presence of 0.5 \(\mu\)M dTTP (dT only), whereas those shown in the bottom panel were done in the presence of 1 \(\mu\)M dTTP and 50 \(\mu\)M dGTP (dT + dG). For each set of assays, the concentrations of the primer were 0.15, 0.46, 1.5, 4.6, and 15 \(\mu\)M (left to right). (B) The signals from the assays shown in part A were quantified, converted to reaction rates (see Materials and Methods for a more detailed explanation), and plotted against primer concentration at the bottom. The data points were fitted to the Michaelis-Menton equation, and the resulting curves are shown.
in this region (resulting in the LYID589 mutant) caused complex changes in the primer utilization and elongation properties of telomerase. These changes include (i) a severe defect in extending primers that form short hybrids with telomerase RNA, (ii) reduced type II translocation efficiency, and (iii) enhanced type I translocation efficiency. At first glance, one might be tempted to attribute such a complex phenotype to direct and indirect effects of the mutations on diverse aspects of telomerase structure and function. However, we believe that a more parsimonious explanation can be proposed that attributes all of the enzymatic changes to reduced protein-DNA interactions (as suggested by the kinetic experiments) afforded by the mutant (see Fig. 7 for a graphic illustration of the following discussion).

We surmise that the overall affinity of the telomerase enzyme for DNA is a function of both RNA-DNA and protein-DNA interactions and that a threshold level of affinity is required for efficient binding and polymerization. In the presence of a short RNA-DNA hybrid, the protein-DNA interaction disrupted by the LYID589 mutation may be critical for this threshold level of affinity, accounting for the primer-specific impairment of activity. Because type II translocation and repeat addition processivity also entail the formation of a short hybrid (Fig. 5A), an impact of the LYID589 mutation can be readily explained by the same hypothesis. Interestingly, an N-terminal deletion mutant of human TERT simultaneously manifests reduced processivity and altered primer utilization, again suggesting that these properties can be coupled (1). The notion that the affinity of telomerase for DNA after type II translocation is important for repeat addition processivity is supported by the recent finding that the length of the RNA-DNA hybrids after type II translocation is an important determinant of mouse and human telomerase processivity in vitro (8).

Can a reduction in binding affinity stimulate more efficient type I translocation? Though less intuitively obvious, this idea has precedence in an earlier analysis of Kluyveromyces lactis telomerase (16). Specifically, the nucleotide addition processivity of K. lactis telomerase was shown to be impaired by increasing the length of complementarity between the DNA primer and RNA template. This observation suggests that excessively tight binding between the enzyme and DNA may hinder elongation. Perhaps the energy barrier for conformational transition that is necessary for nucleotide addition becomes higher with a stabler telomerase-DNA complex. In light of this idea, it is interesting that the stimulatory effect of the LYID589 mutation on type I translocation was evident only at or beyond the primer +3 position (for the OXYT1 primer) as the RNA-DNA hybrid became longer than 6 nucleotides. We surmise that with longer hybrids, the additional binding contributed by the LYID589 residues may result in an excessively stable telomerase-DNA complex that cannot elongate efficiently. Thus, the same protein-DNA interaction can have diametrically opposite effects on type I and II translocation, consistent with the different energetic requirements for these two types of translocation reactions (34, 45).

How might the insertion in the fingers domain of TERT optimize DNA binding? In comparison with other DNA polymerases, conventional RTs such as HIV-1 RT appear to have rather “stubby” fingers. Thus, even in the closed, transcribing conformation illustrated in Fig. 1B and 1C, one face of the RNA-DNA hybrid is open to solution (27). We imagine that the longer and more elaborate fingers of TERT may make additional contact with the RNA-DNA duplex on this open face to enhance stability. In fact, it is possible that the tip of the fingers may extend sufficiently to make contact with the putative thumb domain of TERT, thus fully encircling the substrate. This type of full closure may in turn augment enzyme-substrate stability.

The notion that IFD is an important determinant of primer binding is supported by recent findings in Euplotes crassus (28). Three different TERT genes can be identified in this ciliated protozoan. The expression of one of the TERT genes (named EcTERT-2) is specifically upregulated during macronuclear development, a period of de novo telomere formation. Telomerase isolated from this developmental stage exhibits a remarkably distinct primer recognition property: an ability to elongate nontelomeric primers (3, 19). Interestingly, EcTERT-2 differs from the other TERT genes in having a very divergent amino acid sequence in the IFD region, suggesting that this region is responsible for the altered primer recognition property. On the other hand, the residues altered in the LYID589 mutants are well conserved between the E. crassus TERTs, arguing that these particular residues per se do not mediate the de novo telomere formation activity of EcTERT-2 (data not shown).

It should be stressed that IFD may have functions other than primer recognition. In contrast to the LYID589 mutant, the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nucleotide combination</th>
<th>K_m (µM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CS74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 µM dTTP + 50 µM dGTP</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2 µM dTTP + 50 µM dGTP</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5 µM dTTP</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 7. Interplay between RNA-DNA hybrid and IFD on telomerase activity and translocation property. The discussion concerning the effects of loss of IFD function on telomerase activity and processivity is summarized. Loss of IFD is illustrated by an oval (TERT) missing a top portion. See the Discussion section for a more detailed explanation.
other three mutants that we analyzed did not exhibit primer-specific defects in vitro, but rather a consistent loss of activity on all primers. One plausible explanation is that these mutants may be defective in nucleotide or template binding, which has been shown to be mediated by the fingers domain of RTs. Impairment of nucleotide or template binding may cause consistent loss of enzyme activity, as observed. Further studies will be necessary to address these possibilities.

**Implications for telomere extension in vivo and telomerase evolution.** It is not immediately obvious why the LYID589 mutant should behave as a null mutant in vivo. The rate of telomere loss in the absence of telomerase is on average only about 3 to 4 bp per generation, and the LYID589 mutant appears fully capable of adding this number of nucleotides, especially given the right substrate. However, others have shown that telomerase preferentially mediates the extension of short telomeres (15, 38), which presumably entails the addition of multiple repeats, possibly through processive repeat addition. In this scenario, the LYID589 mutant would be unable to maintain telomeres because it is defective in type II translocation. Our results thus provide the first mutational evidence that the repeat addition processivity of telomerase may be essential in vivo. However, it is also possible that the synthesis of long telomeric DNA in vivo is due to multiple cycles of binding, polymerization, and dissociation (i.e., without processive repeat addition). In this scenario, the LYID589 mutant may be nonfunctional because it is unable to rebind the telomere ends with the 3’ end of the template after one round of synthesis to mediate the addition of more nucleotides. Better understanding of the in vivo dynamics of telomere addition would be required to distinguish between these alternatives. Finally, it is worth noting that telomerase has been proposed to have a protective function at telomeres (47, 49, 53), which may also be compromised by the LYID589 mutation.

The opposite effects of the LYID589 mutation on type I and II translocation raise the interesting possibility that the activity of IFD represents an evolutionary compromise between the competing demands of these two types of telomerase translocation. An IFD that binds DNA too weakly might abolish type II translocation, permitting only one round of synthesis. An IFD that binds too tightly might impair type I translocation sufficiently to prevent even a complete round of DNA synthesis. The negative effect of IFD on type I translocation may be especially significant for telomerasers with long RNA templates (such as the S. cerevisiae and *K. lactis* enzymes), which can allow the accumulation of long RNA-DNA hybrids during telomere synthesis. Investigating the effect of comparable IFD mutations on the enzymatic properties of telomerases with short templates should be informative.
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