Multiserver extensions to HTTP

draft-ford-http-multi-server-00

Mark Handley, UCL Alan Ford, Roke Manor Research

General Idea

• Have one HTTP client pull different parts of the same document from multiple mirror servers simultaneously.

Mirroring

- Common solution to spreading load across more than one server/site.
 - Manual choice of mirror doesn't work well; primary site takes most of the load.
 - Auto mirroring works fairly well at balancing server load.
 - DNS load balancing (a bit of a hack).
 - Front-end load balancing (if all your servers are at one site).
- No mirroring solution balances network traffic well.

BitTorrent

- Very effective solution for serving content from many unreliable peers.
 - Divide content into blocks.
 - Peer with many peers.
 - Pull blocks from the fastest peers.
 - (also because it's P2P, upload to peers)
- Very robust to server overload or failure.
- Makes extremely good use of spare network capacity and avoids using congested paths.

Multiserver HTTP

- Robustness of BitTorrent for managed web servers.
 - Resilience to server or net outages.
 - Allows geographic distribution of servers.
- Avoids congested paths, and automatically load balances the network.
 - Works for multihoming, as well as geographic distribution of mirrors.

Basic Idea

- Client advertises multi-server capability in HTTP request.
- Server advertises set of mirrors in response.
 - Uses chunked encoding.
 - Starts sending first chunk of requested data.
- Client can request additional chunks from other mirrors in parallel.
 - Sends more requests to mirrors that respond fastest.
- Result: most data from fastest mirrors.

Increased net performance

- No need to pick a mirror and stick with it.
 - Try four in parallel.
 - Download from the fastest three.
 - Use the fourth TCP connection to experiment with new mirrors.
- Very little data is sent along congested paths.

Increased server performance

- Use one port for initial request, a second port for subsequent mirror requests.
 - Prioritise initial requests.
 - Failed initial requests are noticeable by user.
 - Serve mirror chunk requests as capacity allows.
 - Each chunk served eases work on some other mirror.
- Result: a busy set of mirrors offload work to each other, to maximise overall performance.
- Possible extension: the initial server could send no data, or very little data, when overloaded, and then move all load to mirrors.

HTTP Request Extensions

X-Multiserver-Version:

- in requests, declares capability and version
- X-If-Checksum-Match:
 - conditional on mirror chunk request. Only return the chunk if the checksum given matches that of the data.

Range:

 regular HTTP range request used to say which chunk is required.

HTTP Response Extensions

X-Multiserver-Version:

Declares server's multi-server HTTP version

X-Checksum:

- Used in response to initial request.
- Data checksum, used to ensure all chunks are from the same version of the content

X-Mirrors:

- Used in response to initial request.
- List of URLs that mirror the content.

Content-Range:

 regular HTTP content range used to indicate the chunk being sent.

Example: Initial Request

GET /wibble/download.zip HTTP/1.1
Host: www.example.com
X-Multiserver-Version: 0.1

Example: Initial Response

```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Accept-Ranges: bytes
Content-Length: 10240
Content-Type: application/zip
Content-Range: bytes 1-10240/2025121
X-Multiserver-Version: 0.1
X-Checksum: MD5 "d6862c992a3d6736ad678cc865dee67f"
X-Mirrors: /wibble/download.zip 3600 \
http://www.example2.com/wibble/download.zip \
http://www.example3.com/wibble/download.zip
```

First chunk of data

Subsequent chunk requests

GET /wibble/download.zip HTTP/1.1
Host: www.example.com
X-Multiserver-Version: 0.1
Range: 10241-20480

```
GET /wibble/download.zip HTTP/1.1
Host: www.example2.com
X-Multiserver-Version: 0.1
X-If-Checksum-Match: MD5 "d6862c992a3d6736...
Range: 20481-30720
```

A chunk response

```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Accept-Ranges: bytes
Content-Length: 10240
Content-Type: application/zip
Content-Range: bytes 10241-20480/2025121
X-Multiserver-Version: 0.1
```

...this chunk of data...

Details

- How to handle checksum failure?
- How to handle mirror failure during chunk download?
- How best to manage connection pool with using too many parallel connections?
- How to ensure a request pipeline from a client stays full to each active server?
- How to allow overloaded servers to express policy and move load efficiently?
- We have an implementation that works well
 - Credit: Javier Vela Diago
 - Lots of possibility here for good client heuristics.

Uses

- Very good for very large file download.
 - Music or video download (eg. iTunes, BBC's iPlayer)
 - Software download.
 - Streaming video over HTTP
- May be good for many small images if wildcarding could be used appropriately.
 - Fetch most images from fastest mirror.

Uses

- Mirror URLs can even be different interfaces on the same server.
 - More traffic transferred over the less congested link.
 - Dynamic load-balancing of a multi-homed site.

Summary

- Very simple extension to HTTP
 - Could significantly improve net and server pool behavior.
- Very few changes required on server.
- Most work happens on client.
 - Even a fairly dumb implementation gets very good performance.

The Bigger Picture

- This is part of a larger effort to improve the robustness of the Internet, improve its ability to self-balance traffic, and better match costs to revenues.
- Other complentary work here:
 - Multi-path TCP
 - Re-ECN
 - LEDBAT congestion control for BitTorrent
 - Network Neutrality talks at Thurs Plenary.