

Optimisations

- · Limit the number of rays
- · Make the ray test faster
 - for shadow rays
 the main drain on resources if there are several lights
 - for primary rays
 - for all rays

Over 90% of the cost of ray tracing is in ray-object intersection tests

UCL

Ray Tracing Acceleration

- · Intersect ray with all objects - Way too expensive
- · Faster intersection algorithms - Little effect
- Less intersection computations

 - Space partitioning (often hierarchical)
 Grid, octree, BSP or kd-tree, bounding volume hierarchy (BVH)

Optimisation for Shadow Rays • Problem with shadow rays is that for every intersection we trace an additional N rays for each light

UCL

▲UCL Optimisation for Shadow Rays: Light Buffer • Enclose light in a box. • Cells of box faces store objects









Optimisation for Primary Rays

- Use a z-buffer!
- Instead of writing colour write an object identifier
 Easy to support in OpenGL turn off lighting, do flat shading and encode object id within 24bit colour
- Difficult technique to use elsewhere because rays are no longer spatially coherent and evenly spaced





[±]UCL

Optimisation for General Rays

- · Techniques to use
 - bounding volumes
 - hierarchical bounding volumes
 - space subdivision regular
 adaptive
 - ray coherence





Fast BV Tests (AABB)

- · Box-Ray test (when box planes parallel to axes) - a box is three sets of parallel planes, each set orthogonal to the other two,
 - ray defined by $q(t) = q_0 + t.dq$
 - $\begin{array}{l} \text{Calculate } t_{\text{near}} \text{ for each of the three plane pairs} \\ \text{find max of the 3 } t_{\text{near}} \\ \text{Calculate } t_{\text{far}} \text{ for each of the three plane pairs} \end{array}$

 - find min of the 3 t_{far}
 - If max t_{near} is greater that min t_{far} then the box is not intersected



UCL

Choosing a Volume

- · Choice depends on the cost of the test and the fit of the shape
 - The "void" area can be very large
- · More efficient fitting shapes are possible (this is still a research area e.g. k-dops)







Choosing a BVH

- Scene graph might not map to a decent space partitioning
 - Group BVs based on actual proximity rather than scene graph position
- You could e.g., sort the BVH using a BSP tree ...

≜UCL

Bounding Volume Hierarchy

- Advantages:
 - Very good adaptivity
 - Efficient traversal O(log N)
- Problems
 - How to arrange BVs?

≜UCL

Regular Spatial Subdivision (Grid)

- Regular 3D grid of "voxels"
- In each voxel, store the list of objects that intersects
 with the voxel



≜UCL

Regular Spatial Subdivision

- Ray traverses the regular grid
- For each traversed voxel, intersection test with stored objects



[±]UCL

RSS: Issues

- · Grid traversal
 - Requires enumeration of voxel along ray \rightarrow 3D-DDA
 - Simple and hardware-friendly

· Grid resolution

- Strongly scene dependent
- Cannot adapt to local density of objects
 Problem: "Teapot in a stadium"
- Possible solution: hierarchical grids

RSS: Issues

- · Objects in multiple voxels - Store only references
 - Use mailboxing to avoid multiple intersection computations · Store (ray, object)-tuple in small cache (e.g. with hashing)
 - · Do not intersect if found in cache - Original mailbox uses ray-id stored with each triangle
 - · Simple, but likely to destroy CPU caches



≜UCL











[±]UCL

Octree Representation

· Advantage is clear - cells are not wasted on void areas

· Disadvantage:

- Doesn't build on object shape
 - Object can belong to different branches of the tree
 Split to isolate the object can be too fine
- Cost to traverse can be high





Repeat at **R** until ray out of the whole volume



KD-Tree

• Similar to octree, but orthogonal splitting planes are chosen to provide a good search tree



Split based on balancing object numbers and minimising volume disparity

≜UCL

≜UCL

BSP Tree

- · Generalisation of the kd-tree (which is a generalisation of an octree)
- Choosing your BSP tree is hard!
- · Can be done by finding a plane that separates the objects in two equal sets, and applying the subdivision recursively

UCL

Traversing a BSP tree

• BSP-RayIntersect(Ray, Node)

- Test if ray interval empty or no more node
- If node is a leaf, intersect ray with objects
- Else
 - Clip ray to near side of the plane (RayNear)
 BSP-RayIntersect(RayNear, Node->near)

 - If (no intersection)
 - Clip ray to far side of the plane (RayFar)
 BSPIntersect (RayFar, Node->far)

Conclusion

- Several can be applied for accelerating the ray intersection tests with the scene
- Some are specific to rays from light source or viewpoints
- Some are more general
- Choosing a good scene partitioning is crucial, but depends on the scene structure
- Need a good trade off between scene partitioning and traversal efficiency (and memory cost)