

D50: Advances in Software Engineering Distributed Objects

Wolfgang Emmerich

© Wolfgang Emmerich, 1998/99



Lecture Overview

- Transparency
- OO MIddleware
- Resolving Language Heterogeneity
- Resolving Data Heterogeneity
- OMG/CORBA
- Genericity



- Users and application programmers perceive distributed system as a whole rather than a collection of components
- Transparency has multiple dimensions that were identified by ANSA [ANSA89] and in the ISO ODP Standard [ISO92]



JC

Transparency Dimensions



© Wolfgang Emmerich, 1998/99



Access Transparency

Enables local and remote information objects to be accessed using identical operations

- Examples
 - File system operations in NFS
 - Navigation in the Web
 - SQL queries

© Wolfgang Emmerich, 1998/99

Backup Slide 5



Location Transparency

- Enables information objects to be accessed without knowledge of their location
- Examples
 - Files in NFS
 - Pages in the Web
 - Tables in distributed databases



Concurrency Transparency

- Enables several processes to operate concurrently using shared information objects without interference between them
- Examples
 - Automatic teller machine network
 - Database management system

© Wolfgang Emmerich, 1998/99

Backup Slide 7



Replication Transparency

- Facilitates use of multiple instances of information objects to increase reliability and performance without knowledge of the replicas by users or application programs
- Examples
 - Distributed DBMS
 - Mirroring Web pages.



Failure Transparency

- Enables concealment of faults
- Allows users and applications to complete tasks despite failure of other components.
- Example
 - Database Management System

© Wolfgang Emmerich, 1998/99

Backup Slide 9



Migration Transparency

- Allows movement of information objects within system without affecting operations of users or application programs
- Examples
 - NFS
 - Web Pages



Allows the system and applications to expand in scale without changing system structure or application algorithms.

- Examples
 - World-Wide-Web
 - Distributed Databases

© Wolfgang Emmerich, 1998/99

Backup Slide 11

Distribution Middleware Needed

Requirements for middleware:

Component type definition

- Services offered by components
- Component state
- Relationships between components
- Resolution of heterogeneity
 - Platforms
 - Programming languages
 - Networks
- Support in achieving transparency © Wolfgang Emmerich, 1998/99

Layered between Application and OS/Network

- Makes distribution transparent
- Resolves heterogeneity of
 - Hardware
 - Operating Systems
 - Networks
 - Programming Languages
- Provides development and run-time environment for distributed systems.

© Wolfgang Emmerich, 1998/99

13



JC

Categories of Middleware

Message-Oriented Middleware

- IBM MQSeries
- DEC Message Queue
- NCR TopEnd

Transaction-Processing Middleware

- IBM CICS
- BEA Tuxedo
- Encina

Object-Oriented Middleware

- OMG/CORBA
- DCOM
- Java/RMI

■ These are converging! We focus on OO. © Wolfgang Emmerich, 1998/99





Transport Layer

- How are we going to transmit object requests between hosts?
- Two facets in UNIX networks:
 - TCP and
 - UDP.



© Wolfgang Emmerich, 1998/99



ISO/OSI Session Layer

- Which object runs on which machine?
- Layering object request on top of transport
- Activating objects
- Object Adapters and Registries



© Wolfgang Emmerich, 1998/99

17



ISO/OSI Presentation Layer

- At application layer: complex data types & Object references
- How to transmit complex values through transport layer?
- Presentation layer issues:
 - Complex data structures and
 - Heterogeneity.



© Wolfgang Emmerich, 1998/99

Complex Data Structures

	Marshalling:	lass Person {				
	Disassemble data F structures into transmittable form	private: int dob;				
					char * name;	
		public:				
			Unmarshalling: Reassemble the complex data structure.	char * marshal() {		
char * msg;						
<pre>msg=new char[strlen(name)+10];</pre>						
<pre>sprintf(msg,"%d,%d,%s", dob,</pre>						
<pre>strlen(name),name);</pre>						
		<pre>return(msg);</pre>				
};						
};						

© Wolfgang Emmerich, 1998/99



```
Type Safety
```

■ How can we make sure that

- servers are able to perform operations requested by clients?
- actual parameters provided by clients match the expected parameters of the server?
- results provided by the server match the expectations of client?
- Middleware acts as mediator between client and server to ensure type safety.
- Achieved by interface definition in an agreed language.

© Wolfgang Emmerich, 1998/99











- Goal: achieve similar synchronization to local method invocation
- Achieved by stubs:
 - Client stub sends request and waits until server finishes
 - Server stub waits for requests and calls server when request arrives



Client stubs have the same operations as server objects

- Hence, clients can
 - make local call to client stub
 - or local call to server object without changing the call.
- Middleware can accelerate communication if objects are local by not using the stub.

© Wolfgang Emmerich, 1998/99



- Object identity
- Object references
- Client requests operation from server object identified by object reference
- No information about physical location of server necessary
- How to obtain object references?

Motivation

- Components of distributed systems are written in different programming languages
- Programming languages may or may not have their own object model
- Object models largely vary
- Differences need to be overcome in order to facilitate integration

© Wolfgang Emmerich, 1998/99

UCI





Meta-model for middleware's type system

- Defines meaning of e.g.
 - object type
 - operation
 - attribute
 - request
 - exception
 - subtyping

Defined general enough for mappings to most programming languages

© Wolfgang Emmerich, 1998/99

29



Interface Definition Language

- Language for expressing all concepts of the middleware's object model
- Should be
 - programming-language independent
 - not computationally complete
- Bindings to different programming languages are needed
- As an example: OMG object model and OMG/IDL



- Atomic data types / type constructors
- Constants
- Interfaces and multiple inheritance
- Object references
- Attribute accesses
- Operation execution requests
- Exception declaration / handling
- Modules
- Middleware interface invocations

31



Standardisation of Bindings

- Facilitate portability with respect to:
 - Object requests
 - Object implementations
 - ORB interface invocations
- Decrease learning curve of developers

Hosts of client and server might use different data representation formats. E.g.:

- Mainframes are big-endian
- Unix servers & NT workstations are little-endians





Data Heterogeneity (cont'd)

Different programming languages use different data representations, e.g. Character string "abc" in Pascal or C++:

Pascal	memory	3	а	b	С
C++	memory	а	b	C	10

© Wolfgang Emmerich, 1998/99



- Data representations have to be converted between client and server
- Conversion should be transparent to application developer
- Generally achieved by middleware within presentation layer implementation

TC

Approaches

■ *Mappings between native representations*

- Standardized data representation, e.g. – Sun's external data representation (XDR)
 - OMG's common data representation (CDR)

No transmission of the type definition

• Transmission of values and their types using an abstract syntax notation e.g

– ASN.1





Components involved at run-time



© Wolfgang Emmerich, 1998/99





- Facilitate object implementation portability between different ORBs
- Support light-weight transient objects
- Support persistent object identities (e.g. in ODBMSs)
- Allow servants to implement multiple objects
- Support transparent object activation
- Extensible mechanism for activation policies
- Multiple POAs in one server





JC

Generic Applications

Generic applications use components whose types are not (yet) known.

Example: Object Browser



© Wolfgang Emmerich, 1998/99

■ Example: OMG/CORBA

JC



© Wolfgang Emmerich, 1998/99

Static Invocation

Advantages:

- Requests are simple to define.
- Availability of operations checked by programming language compiler.
- Requests can be implemented fairly efficiently.

■ Disadvantages:

- Generic applications cannot be build.
- Recompilation required after operation interface modification.

- Interface to create operation execution requests dynamically.
- *Requests are objects.*
- Attributes for operation name, parameters and results.
- Operations to
 - change operation parameters,
 - issue the request and
 - obtain the request results.

45



JC

Creation of Requests

```
interface Object {
  ORBstatus create_request (
    in Context ctx, // operation context
    in Identifier operation,// operation to exec
    in NVList arg_list, // args of operation
    inout NamedValue result,// operation result
    out Request request // new request object
    in Flags req_flags // request flags
    );
    ...
};
    @Wolfgang Emmerich, 1998/99
```



Dynamic Invocation

Advantages:

- Components can be built without having the interfaces they use,
- Higher degree of concurrency through deferred synchronous execution.
- Components can react to changes of interfaces.

■ Disadvantages:

- Less efficient,
- More complicated to use and
- Not type safe!

- Makes type information of interfaces available at run-time.
- Enables development of generic applications.
- Achieves type-safe dynamic invocations.
- Supports construction of interface browser.
- Used by Middleware itself.

Abstract Syntax Trees (ASTs)

49

Interface repository persistently stores ASTs of IDL modules, interfaces, types, operations

etc.

UC







```
interface Container : IRObject {
  Contained lookup(in ScopedName search_name);
  sequence<Contained> contents(
      in DefinitionKind limit_type,
      in boolean
                         exclude_inherited);
  sequence<Contained> lookup_name(
      in Identifier
                         search_name,
      in long
                          levels_to_search,
      in DefinitionKind limit_type,
      in boolean
                         exclude_inherited);
  . . .
};
   © Wolfgang Emmerich, 1998/99
```



Contained (child)

interface Contained : IRObject {
 attribute Identifier name;
 attribute RepositoryId id;
 attribute VersionSpec version;
 readonly attribute Container defined_in;
 struct Description {
 DefinitionKind kind;
 any value;
 };
 Description describe();
 ...
};_{© Wolfgang Emmerich, 1998/99}

53



Interface Definition

```
interface InterfaceDef : Container,Contained {
attribute sequence<InterfaceDef> base interfaces;
boolean is_a(in RepositoryId interface_id);
struct FullInterfaceDescription {
    Identifier
                                    name;
    RepositoryId
                                    id;
    RepositoryId
                                    defined_in;
    RepositoryIdSequence
                                    base_interfaces;
    sequence<OperationDescription> operations;
    sequence<AttributeDescription> attributes;
    . . .
};
FullInterfaceDescription describe_interface();
};
  © Wolfgang Emmerich, 1998/99
```



Locating Interface Definitions

Alternatives:

- Any interface inherits the operation InterfaceDef get_interface() from Object.
- Associative search using lookup_name.
- Navigation through the interface repository using contents and defined_in attributes.

© Wolfgang Emmerich, 1998/99



- Use interface repository to find out about object types at run-time
- Use dynamic invocation interface to obtain attribute values



© Wolfgang Emmerich, 1998/99





Summary

- Transparency
- OO MIddleware
- Resolving Language Heterogeneity
- Resolving Data Heterogeneity
- OMG/CORBA
- Genericity