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## Processes and Threads

- Execution of a program is a process
- Concurrent programs consist of multiple processes
■ Threads are lightweight processes
- Both threads and processes can be modelled in the same way
■ We use finite state machines for that


## Labelled Transition Systems

■ Special form of finite state machines
■ Used to model states of concurrent programs and transitions between them
■ LTS:=(S,T,A, $\delta, c)$ where

- $S$ (a finite set of states)
- $T \subseteq S \times S$ (a finite set of transitions)
- A (an alphabet of atomic actions)
- $\delta: \boldsymbol{T} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{A}$ (a transition labelling)
- $c \in S$ (the current state)


## Graphic LTS Notation



## LTS Semantics

- All actions that are annotations of transitions starting from the current state are enabled
■ If process engages in enabled action target of transition becomes current state


## Demo

■ In this way LTS determines all possible traces of process

## Finite State Processes (FSP)

■ LTS become unmanageable for large number of states and transitions

- Process algebras determine LTSs in a more concise way
$\square$ Finite State Processes (FSP): machine readable notation for a process algebra
■ For each FSP model an equivalent LTS can be constructed automatically


## FSP Intro: Action Prefix

■ Let x be an action and P a process. The action prefix ( $x->\mathrm{P}$ ) is process that initially engages in action $x$ and then behaves in the same way as process $P$
■ Used to model atomic actions

- Actions have lower case identifiers, states have upper case identifiers
■ Example: ONESHOT= (once->STOP) .
■ Equivalent LTS:
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## FSP Intro: Recursion

$■$ Let P be a process. Then P may be used in action prefixes in a recursive way.
■ Used to model repetitive behaviour

- Example: SWITCH=OFF.

OFF $=($ on->ON).
ON $\quad=$ (off->OFF).
■ Equivalent LTS:


■ Note: Processes are equivalent to states
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## FSP Intro: Local Processes

■ It is not necessary for all states/processes to be globally visible.
■ Restricting states/processes by use of ','

- Example:

SWITCH=OFF,
OFF=(on->ON), ON= (off->OFF).
$\square$ OFF and ON are not visible outside SWITCH
■ Equivalent to:
SWITCH= (on->off->SWITCH) .
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## FSP Intro: Choice

- ( $x->P \mid y->Q$ ) describes a choice that engages either in x or y . After x it continues with P , after y it continues with Q
■ Example: DRINKS=(
red->tea->DRINKS
blue->coffee->DRINKS
■ Equivalent LTS:



## FSP Intro: Indexes

- A range type is a finite and scalar type:
- Example: range $\mathrm{T}=0 . .3$
$\square$ If $T$ is a range type then $x[i: T]$ is the declaration of an action index and P[i:T] is declares an indexed process.
- A process index variable is valid within the process, an indexed action is valid within the scope of the choice.


## FSP Intro: Index Example

const $N=1$
range $T=0 . \mathrm{N}$
range $R=0 .{ }^{2 * N}$
SUM $=(i n[a: T][b: T]->O U T[a+b]$, OUT[s:R] = (out [s]->SUM) .
■ Equivalent LTS:
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## FSP Intro: Guarded Actions

■ The guarded action when B x->P means that when the guard B is true action x is enabled and the process proceeds as P .

- Example:

COUNT (N=3) =COUNT[0],
$\begin{aligned} \text { COUNT }[\mathrm{i}: 0 \ldots \mathrm{~N}]= & (\text { when }(\mathrm{i}<\mathrm{N}) \\ & \text { inc->COUNT }[\mathrm{i}+1] \\ & ) .\end{aligned}$
■ Equivalent LTS:
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## Summary

■ Formal Definition of LTS

- Algebraic notation in FSP
- Equivalence between LTS and FSP

■ FSP and LTS concepts introduced so far are sufficient for sequential programs
■ Next session: FSP constructs for modelling concurrent programs
■ Solve Exercises 1 and 2 of tutorial sheet

