

# The Midterm

Brad Karp  
UCL Computer Science



CS 0019  
7<sup>th</sup> February 2019

# Midterm Exam

- 21<sup>st</sup> February, 3:05 PM
- Darwin B40 Lecture Theatre
- 1 hour 25 minutes
- UCL standard calculators permitted
- No other reference materials (books, notes) permitted
- Covers all assigned readings and lectures through 19<sup>th</sup> February and Courseworks 1 -3
- Please be sure to bring an HB pencil for filling in True/False/Don't Know answer sheet
- Absence can only be excused by unforeseeable extenuating circumstances (through CS ECs process)

# Rubric

## ■ Part I: multi-part short answer questions

- A scenario, and multiple questions about that scenario, each of which you answer
- No choice of questions; all students answer all questions

## ■ Part II: True/False/Don't Know questions

- A scenario and a series of five statements about that scenario
- For each statement, you must indicate whether the statement is true, false, or that you do not know ("T", "F", or "D")
- Any number of statements may be true (from 0 through 5)
- For each true statement you identify as true and false statement you identify as false, you receive 1 mark
- For each statement whose truth or falsehood you contradict, you lose 1 mark (negative marking discourages guessing)
- "D" answers neither gain nor lose marks
- Sum of "raw" marks for Part II normalized across the class

# Example Multi-Part Short Answer Question

Consider the disassembly of the x86-64 code for C function `f1()` shown below (in this lecture, on the next slide).

- (a) How many arguments does `f1()` take? [1 mark]
- (b) How much space on the stack does a single invocation of `f1()` allocate for local variables? [1 mark]
- (c) How much of this space on the stack does a single invocation of `f1()` use for local variables? [2 marks]
- (d) Which registers does `f1()` save on the stack before it makes a function call and does `f1()` restore from the stack after the function call returns? [2 marks]
- (e) For each register in your answer to (d), why does `f1()` save that register on the stack? [3 marks]
- (f) Write the C function that you would expect to compile into `f1()`. [6 marks]

```

_f1:
0: 55                pushq %rbp
1: 48 89 e5          movq %rsp, %rbp
4: 48 83 ec 20       subq $32, %rsp
8: 48 89 7d f0       movq %rdi, -16(%rbp)
c: 48 83 7d f0 00    cmpq $0, -16(%rbp)
11: 0f 84 28 00 00 00 je 40 <_f1+0x3F>
17: 48 8b 45 f0       movq -16(%rbp), %rax
1b: 48 8b 4d f0       movq -16(%rbp), %rcx
1f: 48 83 e9 01       subq $1, %rcx
23: 48 89 cf         movq %rcx, %rdi
26: 48 89 45 e8       movq %rax, -24(%rbp)
2a: e8 d1 ff ff ff    callq -47 <_f1>
2f: 48 8b 4d e8       movq -24(%rbp), %rcx
33: 48 01 c1         addq %rax, %rcx
36: 48 89 4d f8       movq %rcx, -8(%rbp)
3a: e9 08 00 00 00    jmp 8 <_f1+0x47>
3f: 48 c7 45 f8 00 00 00 00 00 movq $0, -8(%rbp)
47: 48 8b 45 f8       movq -8(%rbp), %rax
4b: 48 83 c4 20       addq $32, %rsp
4f: 5d                popq %rbp
50: c3                retq

```

# Answers to Multi-Part Short Answer Question

- (a) One.
- (b) 32 bytes.
- (c) 24 bytes.
- (d) %rbp, %rax
- (e) %rbp: callee-saved, used as frame pointer, so must be saved and restored upon entry and exit to hold correct value upon return to caller; %rax: caller-saved, used as return value, so will be clobbered by callee.
- (f) 

```
long f1(long x)
{
    if (x)
        return x + f1(x - 1);
    else
        return 0;
}
```

# Example True/False/Don't Know Question

- Consider the following C structure and its use, which are to be compiled on an x86-64 machine:

```
struct foo {  
    char x[5];  
    uint16_t i;  
    char y;  
};
```

```
struct foo bar[16];
```

- A. `sizeof(struct foo)` is 8.
- B. Swapping the order of `x[]` and `i` in the `struct foo` declaration changes `sizeof(struct foo)`.
- C. `malloc(sizeof(struct foo))` will return storage aligned neither more coarsely nor more finely than needed by `struct foo`.
- D. In general, for any `struct str` whose members are of any C type, whether a basic x86-64 C type (e.g., `long`, `char`) or a derived type built from such basic types (e.g., array of `chars`, `struct`, pointer), sorting `struct str`'s members in increasing order of alignment in the `struct str` declaration yields the smallest possible `sizeof(struct foo)`.

# Example True/False/Don't Know Question

- Consider the following C structure and its use, which are to be compiled on an x86-64 machine:

```
struct foo {  
    char x[5];  
    uint16_t i;  
    char y;  
};
```

```
struct foo bar[16];
```

- A. `sizeof(struct foo)` is 8. **False.**
- B. Swapping the order of `x[]` and `i` in the `struct foo` declaration changes `sizeof(struct foo)`.
- C. `malloc(sizeof(struct foo))` will return storage aligned neither more coarsely nor more finely than needed by `struct foo`.
- D. In general, for any `struct str` whose members are of any C type, whether a basic x86-64 C type (e.g., `long`, `char`) or a derived type built from such basic types (e.g., array of `chars`, `struct`, pointer), sorting `struct str`'s members in increasing order of alignment in the `struct str` declaration yields the smallest possible `sizeof(struct foo)`.



# Example True/False/Don't Know Question

- Consider the following C structure and its use, which are to be compiled on an x86-64 machine:

```
struct foo {  
    char x[5];  
    uint16_t i;  
    char y;  
};
```

```
struct foo bar[16];
```

- A. `sizeof(struct foo)` is 8. **False.**
- B. Swapping the order of `x[]` and `i` in the `struct foo` declaration changes `sizeof(struct foo)`. **True.**
- C. `malloc(sizeof(struct foo))` will return storage aligned neither more coarsely nor more finely than needed by `struct foo`.
- D. In general, for any `struct str` whose members are of any C type, whether a basic x86-64 C type (e.g., `long`, `char`) or a derived type built from such basic types (e.g., array of `chars`, `struct`, pointer), sorting `struct str`'s members in increasing order of alignment in the `struct str` declaration yields the smallest possible `sizeof(struct foo)`.

# Example True/False/Don't Know Question

- Consider the following C structure and its use, which are to be compiled on an x86-64 machine:

```
struct foo {  
    char x[5];  
    uint16_t i;  
    char y;  
};
```

```
struct foo bar[16];
```

- A. `sizeof(struct foo)` is 8. **False.**
- B. Swapping the order of `x[]` and `i` in the `struct foo` declaration changes `sizeof(struct foo)`. **True.**
- C. `malloc(sizeof(struct foo))` will return storage aligned neither more coarsely nor more finely than needed by `struct foo`. **False.**
- D. In general, for any `struct str` whose members are of any C type, whether a basic x86-64 C type (e.g., `long`, `char`) or a derived type built from such basic types (e.g., array of `chars`, `struct`, pointer), sorting `struct str`'s members in increasing order of alignment in the `struct str` declaration yields the smallest possible `sizeof(struct foo)`.

# Example True/False/Don't Know Question

- Consider the following C structure and its use, which are to be compiled on an x86-64 machine:

```
struct foo {  
    char x[5];  
    uint16_t i;  
    char y;  
};
```

```
struct foo bar[16];
```

- A. `sizeof(struct foo)` is 8. **False.**
- B. Swapping the order of `x[]` and `i` in the `struct foo` declaration changes `sizeof(struct foo)`. **True.**
- C. `malloc(sizeof(struct foo))` will return storage aligned neither more coarsely nor more finely than needed by `struct foo`. **False.**
- D. In general, for any `struct str` whose members are of any C type, whether a basic x86-64 C type (e.g., `long`, `char`) or a derived type built from such basic types (e.g., array of `chars`, `struct`, pointer), sorting `struct str`'s members in increasing order of alignment in the `struct str` declaration yields the smallest possible `sizeof(struct foo)`. **True.**